



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/795,869	03/09/2004	Wen Hsiang Yang	LP4002-3850 1227			
75	7590 01/03/2005			EXAMINER		
WEN HSIANG YANG			WONG, STEVEN B			
235 Chung-Ho		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
Box 8-24 Taipei,			3711			
TAIWAN			DATE MAILED: 01/03/200	5 ·		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/795,86		YANG, WEN HSI	ANG		
Office A	ction Summary				,		
Jille A	J Jummaly	Examiner Stoven M		Art Unit			
The MAN DIE	3 DATE of this commun	Steven W		3711 correspondence ad	ldress		
Period for Reply	I oi uns commun	uppaars on the		Johnstadile du	= 		
THE MAILING DAT - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS from the period for reply specified for reply is a failure to reply within the Any reply received by the	TATUTORY PERIOD F TE OF THIS COMMUN be available under the provisions om the mailing date of this comn ceffled above is less than thirty (3 specified above, the maximum st a set or extended period for reply to Office later than three months a strent. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenunication. 30) days, a reply within the state tatutory period will apply and will will by statute. cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tin utory minimum of thirty (30) day ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nety filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co	y. ommunication.		
Status							
1)☐ Responsive to	o communication(s) file	ed on					
2a) ☐ This action is		2b)⊠ This action is n	on-final.				
, —	plication is in condition	•		osecution as to the	e merits is		
•	ordance with the practi						
Disposition of Claims				,			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> i 4a) Of the abo 5)□ Claim(s) <u>—</u> 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> i 7)□ Claim(s) <u>—</u>	Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are object to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
10)∭ The drawing(s Applicant may Replacement d	tion is objected to by the sign filed on is/are not request that any objectioning sheet(s) including coloration is objected to	: a) ☐ accepted or b) ection to the drawing(s) b g the correction is requir	ne held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CF			
<i>,</i> —	eclaration is objected to	o by the Examiner. No	ote trie attached Office	ACION OF IOMS PI	i ∪ - 1J2.		
Priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119						
a) All b) S 1. Certifie 2. Certifie 3. Copies applica	nent is made of a claim Some * c) None of: ed copies of the priority of the certified copies of the copies ation from the Internation ed detailed Office action	documents have bee documents have bee of the priority docume onal Bureau (PCT Rul	n received. In received in Applicati ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage		
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References C 2) Notice of Draftsperson	s's Patent Drawing Review (Fostatement(s) (PTO-1449 or		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	O-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/795,869 Page 2

Art Unit: 3711

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the use of the language "wet-proof" is inaccurate in describing the structure for the ball. More appropriate language would "water-proof".

Appropriate correction is required.

subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the
- 3. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 2, the scope of the claim is unclear as it defines the elastic bags as having a figure "8" shape. However, it appears that the cover itself comprises the figure "8" shape. Clarification is required.

In claim 3, the language "if" is indefinite in positively defining the structure for the invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Su et al. (4,815,737) in view of Smith (5,294,112). Regarding claim 1, Su discloses a baseball

Application/Control Number: 10/795,869

Art Unit: 3711

construction comprising a cover (10, 12), a bag (14) having an opening, and a cover (30, 32).

Note column 2, lines 21-23 stating that the bag is made from a conventional plastic material.

Thus, the bag is obviously formed from a material that is both elastic and waterproof. However,

Su lacks the teaching for a plurality of bags surrounding the core.

Smith reveals a game ball construction including a core (11) and a plurality of bags (12-16) that have openings that do not overlap one another (note Figures 2-7). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to form the ball of Su with a plurality of bags in order to better reinforce the core of the ball and reduce the change of breakage of the bag.

Regarding claim 2, insofar as this claim may be understood, the structure is rendered obvious by Su since Su provides a cover (30, 32) comprising figure "8" shapes.

Regarding claim 3, the claim is rendered obvious by Su since Su provides a bag that does enclose the ball core.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Wong whose telephone number is 571-272-4416. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7am-3:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Vidovich can be reached on 571-272-4415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/795,869

Art Unit: 3711

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Steven Wong Primary/Examiner Art Unit 3711

SBW December 27, 2004